

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/573,684	FUTA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN	2431	

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** pending(1) MICHAEL R. VAUGHAN. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.(2) Mark Pratt. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.**Date of Interview:** 21 July 2010**Time:** 11:00AM**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:**

n/a

**Claims discussed:**

28,29

**Prior art documents discussed:**

n/a

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed an amendment which would put the application into condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to said amendments and it has been attached to the instant action.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. R. V./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)